

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

Prohibition Reference	Respondents Additional Comments	Officer Response
Urinating / defecating	More patrols required and harsher penalties for offenders.	Noted
Urinating / defecating	I think there is less respect for the town from both rough sleepers and people out for the evening both young and old	Noted
Urinating / defecating	I doubt that the PSPO will have a significant impact on this kind of behaviour. Urination and defecation in the street is an inevitable consequence of the Council's policy of encouraging a continuing increase in the level of late night / early morning drinking.	Noted
Urinating / defecating	I think the focus should be in ending homelessness, not criminalising homeless behaviour.	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
Urinating / defecating	The proposal will criminalise and victimise homeless people, who are on the streets not because they want to be, but because their lives have fallen apart. The causes of homelessness need to be addressed, not the symptoms.	The proposal is not about criminalising rough sleepers - its intention is to address how all people in the town centre behave or conduct themselves. Rough sleeping would not breach the PSPO.
Urinating / defecating	The general public should NOT have to plough their way through any rubbish anywhere in the town, not just in the centre. There are similar problems immediately outside the designated area such as Abbey Foregate.	The PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify this
Urinating / defecating	Begging is getting more blatant withave people even openly asking for money as they walk past you	Noted
Urinating / defecating	I didn't know this was happening but if it is then I would like it stopped with the protection order	Noted
Urinating / defecating	I don't believe council officers alone are suitably able to enforce such measures.	The police will be the main enforcement body
Urinating / defecating	Are there enough 24 hour public facilities available to people within the area?	Whilst public facilities are not open 24 hours initiative like 'Go before you Go' are in place.
Urinating / defecating	Greater policing of the town centre is required.	'A matter for the Police to consider' - comments passed to West Mercia Police
Urinating / defecating	once again it is ok to make these proposals but you have to solve the problems these lovely people have not just move them on.	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
Urinating / defecating	If the council wants to stop people urinating in public places, they should open more public toilets, rather than closing them.	Whilst public facilities are not open 24 hours initiative like 'Go before you Go' are in place.
Urinating / defecating	As a major tourist attraction the Theatre Severn should be included in the proposed area? Frankwell island too to help the businesses.	The PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify this

APPENDIX 1 – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

Urinating / defecating	However, I do notice a considerable amount of vomit, alcohol glasses and the remains of take-away food scattered along the Abbey Foregate on Sunday mornings.	Noted
Urinating / defecating	I would add that as is often the case, some of the instances of people urinating and defecating in public will not just be because they are worse than they are (those instances should be addressed as it's just irresponsible behaviour) but will be by people with substance addiction issues and chaotic lives. Unless we can help people sort out the underlying issues affecting their lives then we are in danger of pointlessly penalising them something that to them could be viewed as a minor day to day issue amongst all of other things they're having to cope with.	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
Urinating / defecating	The police should carry out these duties as behaviour of this nature already fall within a public order offence therefore, there is no need for further local enforcement measures.	Police advise that to take action for this matter is extremely difficult using current legislation
Urinating / defecating	More important crime issues in the area than installing a protection order on a whole town.	The PSPO only applies to the town centre area and not the whole town.
Urinating / defecating	I have become far more aware of this type of behaviour more recently. I didn't notice it previously so it has obviously become more public.	Noted
Urinating / defecating	Leaving rucksacks and bags unattended in this political climate is unsafe and could be good cover for anyone to leave an explosive device.	Noted
Urinating / defecating	Excellent idea, we need to keep Shrewsbury a beautiful place to live and visit	Noted
Urinating / defecating	Think of the homeless, toilet facilities should be available to them 24 hours a day.	Whilst public facilities are not open 24 hours initiative like 'Go before you Go' as in place.
Urinating / defecating	To be hoped that Town council and police taking up occupation of the library should help CCTV coverage over this area would help.	Noted
Urinating / defecating	Shrewsbury is the county town, the town that visitors look to enjoy, comparable towns such as Chester do not have the same cleanliness problems. Shrewsbury town lets the county down. I used to visit Shrewsbury regularly but find it dirty and the unpleasant to visit now because of the state it is in.	Noted
Urinating / defecating	I strongly believe that if a homeless person wishes to be housed then there are agencies who will work with them to provide accommodation. However, some of the supposed rough sleepers either have homes available to them or refuse to accept the offer of a home. Therefore, we should have stricter guidelines as to their behaviour in the town centre which is a place of commerce and tourism. Defecation and urination in public places is an outrage.	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
Urinating / defecating	This behaviour causes side streets to feel unclean and smell which detracts from the beauty of the town	Noted

APPENDIX 1- Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

Urinating / defecating	Shrewsbury is a beautiful town and attracts numerous tourists from all over the world, seeing all the litter and dirt in doorways along with the smell and the culprits begging constantly does not convey the right image. These so called "homeless" people need removing from the town centre as they are a nuisance, annoy people and are dirty. The local police need to have a presence in the town and use existing legislation as well.	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
Urinating / defecating	Main offenders are late night drinkers and rough sleepers	Noted
Urinating / defecating	Besides defecation in open-air public places, vomiting occurs, and this needs to be dealt with too.	The PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify this
Urinating / defecating	whilst the PSPO is an excellent tool, it should be combined with CCTV in Princess Street and Old St Chads	Noted
Urinating / defecating	Though I am supportive of dealing with antisocial behaviour. I am not certain that this blanket approach is correct and have concerns how this may impact on events and activities that involve the consumption of alcohol within the defined boundaries, but may not be antisocial behaviour.	The PSPO would not apply unless there were behavioural issues of an individual.
Urinating / defecating	After they have been moved on twice and banned for the 48 hrs then on the third occasion an asbo should be issued	This is not an option under the PSPO
Urinating / defecating	It's always disgusting anyway and should be permanently prohibited.	The PSPO will do this
Urinating / defecating	I agree with proposal with the caveat that I believe Becks / Burrs fields should be included, I understand that the river provides a useful and easily recognised boundary, but I use said fields regularly and litter and I believe anti-social behaviour is as much if not more of a problem than in the Quarry.	The PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify this
Urinating / defecating	Id better not!	Noted
Urinating / defecating	about time something was done	Noted
Urinating / defecating	In order for this to be a baldfaced approach, the authorities MUST provide sufficient public toilets open at appropriate times to meet the need (This I would suggest means access to toilets for at least half an hour after pubs close)	Whilst public facilities are not open 24 hours initiative like 'Go before you Go' as in place.
Urinating / defecating	Content with Proposed Prohibition	Comment from Hard copy - Noted
Leaving Personal Belongings	We are sympathetic to the needs of some of those taking up position in Pride Hill but feel this may be intimidating to visitors and also lead to a group gathering.	Noted

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

Leaving Personal Belongings	A PSPO should not be necessary in order to encourage the police to secure the safety of the public. It is regrettable that a PSPO is deemed to be necessary in order to encourage the police to remove items which in other circumstances would trigger a security alert.	The PSPO is an additional tool for the police to utilise based on the individual circumstances encountered but in itself does not grant any power to remove items.
Leaving Personal Belongings	Focus on ending homelessness not criminalising homeless behaviour	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
Leaving Personal Belongings	Again, address the causes of homelessness, not the symptoms.	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
Leaving Personal Belongings	Prohibition 4 is at best - vague. Does it include a cycle left chained to a railing overnight? Will it include genuinely lost property? I could list many examples here, none of which should be deemed a prosecutable offense.	The circumstances of each case will be considered and only where the behaviour is unreasonable would a breach be identified.
Leaving Personal Belongings	Needs a sympathetic approach with certain vulnerable members of our society	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
Leaving Personal Belongings	This is a serious problem not least of all due to the amount of children that pass through the green, to and from school.	Noted
Leaving Personal Belongings	the same applies to all the boxes I have filled. it has improved but where have they gone on to?	Noted
Leaving Personal Belongings	I would not want this proposal to negatively affect people who are genuinely homeless, but to tackle the people who are not, but who think that they can stake out their area of the town centre with their possessions and then don't stay with them. It does degrade the appearance of the town centre.	The proposal is not about criminalising rough sleepers - its intention is to address how all people in the town centre behave or conduct themselves. Rough sleeping would not breach the PSPO.
Leaving Personal Belongings	As stated if it is defined as waste material the items should be removed by the council contractor employed for waste management.	It is not defined as waste material and not all less obvious waste can be treated as such.
Leaving Personal Belongings	Security..(unattended belongings are worrying) hygiene..(often the belongings left in doorways looked grimy ) and image..(I would like Shrewsbury town council to benefit from the revenue earned from visitors/tourists staying and hopefully returning to use our shops,restuarants, theatre and museums. We need to portray a safe, clean and well policed environment in order for families and couples to relax and enjoy their time here.	Noted - national security is currently graded as 'Severe' and left belongings could pose a threat
Leaving Personal Belongings	Visit any other county town - I was in Chester 2 days ago & saw NONE of this. Effect on tourism??	Noted

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

Leaving Personal Belongings	Homeless people stuff should be left alone as this is all they possess and removing it would lead to them maybe shoplifting or burglary to get more stuff or another option, create a place where they can store their stuff securely.	National security is currently graded as 'Severe' and left belongings could pose a threat. Leaving belongings poses risks to others and are naturally exposed to thieves. There are facilities for rough sleepers to leave belongings at the Ark. The PSPO itself does not provide any specific power to remove belongings.
Leaving Personal Belongings	Again CCTV coverage	Noted
Leaving Personal Belongings	Rough sleepers are the cause of this. Be tougher on them and much of this particular problem will be resolved.	The proposal is not about criminalising rough sleepers - its intention is to address how all people in the town centre behave or conduct themselves. Rough sleeping would not breach the PSPO.
Leaving Personal Belongings	Dangerous to young children who touch everything	Noted
Leaving Personal Belongings	what is meant by 'any duration of time' Surely homeless people need to leave their few belongings where they are temporarily situated in order to go to the toilet or buy a sandwich etc.	Case by case basis -circumstances will need to be considered and if reasonable then no breach of PSPO
Leaving Personal Belongings	Graffiti should also be included in this proposition, as it is another method of leaving a visible defacement within the city-centre and the immediately surrounding areas. Writing or drawing any kind of graffiti should also be strictly dealt with as it defilement of other people's property.	Graffiti is already controlled by primary legislation.
Leaving Personal Belongings	Maybe community orders be given for said layouts to do community service and help clean all there messes up or face a total town centre ban	Not a valid remedy under the PSPO process
Leaving Personal Belongings	always useful to have this limitation. i don't think its a huge problem and litter is very well dealt with by the council but any further deterrent is to be encouraged.	Noted

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Leaving Personal Belongings</b></p>	<p>Again this could be used to target more vulnerable people. Will the council provide safe storage space for homeless people to leave their possessions? I don't want homeless people to die of hypothermia because there sleeping bags have been confiscated. if this is about protection from terrorist attacks, just say that and thereby put peoples mind at rest that you aren't targeting homeless people or young people.</p>	<p>National security is currently graded as 'Severe' and left belongings could pose a threat. Leaving belongings poses risks to others and are naturally exposed to thieves. There are facilities for rough sleepers to leave belongings at the Ark. Everyone should keep hold of their possessions unless there are circumstances that prevent this in which case consideration will have to be given to this. The security risks have been recognised in the report to cabinet and are part of the reason for its inclusion. The PSPO itself provides no power to remove belongings.</p>
<p><b>Leaving Personal Belongings</b></p>	<p>Content with Proposed Prohibition</p>	<p>Comment from Hard copy - Noted</p>
<p><b>Alcohol Prohibition</b></p>	<p>Unless this problem is tackled head on, I think less tourist will come to Shrewsbury.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Alcohol Prohibition</b></p>	<p>There should be more scrupulous control of establishments that serve alcohol to be consumed at tables on the footpath. Overspill by standing drinkers onto the footpath should result in formal warnings and withdrawal of the licence if warnings are ignored.</p>	<p>Pavement permits are issued to premises with guidelines that are required to be followed. Each Premises licence controls where consumption may occur. Licence Reviews can be undertaken where persistent breaches occur. Such matters will be considered as part of the Councils review of its Licensing Act 2003 policy</p>
<p><b>Alcohol Prohibition</b></p>	<p>This is a matter for the West Mercia Constabulary.</p>	<p>The police will be the main agency enforcing the PSPO</p>
<p><b>Alcohol Prohibition</b></p>	<p>Containers (sealed or unsealed) - does this mean unopened as in "new" - if so, seems a bit harsh?</p>	<p>Yes the provision will apply to either sealed or unsealed containers and does include new unopened containers.</p>
<p><b>Alcohol Prohibition</b></p>	<p>they need help and if you are too proactive they will just move on to somewhere else. what about the use of the prison as suggested for others and of course there is the barracks. these could be used for refugees as well with the local residents being involved and the street pasters and the food bank etc etc. and dogs should be allowed as well. supermarkets and any waste food from parties etc. we just need to know where to take everything.</p>	<p>Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping</p>

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Whilst collecting passengers from Shrewsbury Station, I have observed extreme drunkenness, urination and some violence early in the evening. This area definitely requires the proposal.	Noted
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	As previously stated the police should if required enforce the law.	The police will be the main agency enforcing the PSPO
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Originally Shrewsbury was a market town with a mix of residents, it's economy is now well off middle class or wealthy retired and original council housing estates have been undermined and left. Police and community groups need to have precepts and sociable methods to eradicate the drug problems on such estates as Harlescote and Meole.	The PSPO does not extend to Harlescote or the Meole estates and the PSPO does not address drug issues for which there is adequate primary legislation
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Before the ban comes in place the reason these people are on the streets needs to be addressed & interim places for them to go provided.	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	It is important that our tourist trade thrives. A bad experience can be shared on social media and ruin the prospects of hard working businesses and restaurants within the town. Antisocial behaviour/alcohol abuse can be intimidating for residents and our visitors.	Noted
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Tackle the issue with rough sleepers and their tendency to congregate	The PSPO is intended to address behavioural issues irrespective of who is causing the behaviour
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Use if discretion in certain circumstances.	Agreed - consideration of an individuals circumstances will always need to be given and only if there is no reasonable excuse could proceedings be commenced under the PSPO. The Councils Better Regulation and Enforcement Policy will need to be considered in all actions.
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Doorways and bridge areas seem to be worse affected and it can be scary to pass by these areas.	Noted
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	One concern might be that drinkers could be pushed to the edge of the boundary instead causing problems for the surrounding areas.	The PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify this
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Erratic and noisy vehicle driving should be incorporated. Vehicles are often driven around the town during the night and evening with windows open, noisy music being played, and exhaust systems tuned for maximum noise. The town centre police Sergeant has told local residents that the police are effectively powerless, in spite of such behaviour being unlawful. Incorporating appropriate strictures might encourage the police to take more responsibility, and might result in putting a few drunken drivers off the road.	This is in the main already controlled under primary legislation.

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Police presence in Shrewsbury wouldn't go a miss!	'A matter for the Police to consider - comments passed to West Mercia Police
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	The recent reduction in rough sleepers indicates concerted effort does work but needs to be an all year round initiative	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping year round. However in the winter months the number of rough sleepers does appear to reduce.
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	I note that the proposal refers to people being required to hand over containers "believed to contain alcohol" only. This should be amended to include containers believed to contain drugs, as drug-taking and drug-selling in Shrewsbury occurs regularly. (On many occasions I have noted drugs being sold in Abbey Garden - beside the English Bridge - and on the steps between the riverside path and the Dana.)	Legislation is already in place to enable the police to act in respect to matters associated with sales of drugs.
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Due to the commonality that we find this happening I believe its imperative that this proposal stay, this will in turn help reduce other behaviours that cause us issues in the town, which I believe to be alcohol related.	The PSPO will need to be reviewed and only if evidence exists to warrant its continued use after 3 years would it be extended/renewed.
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	I thought it was already illegal to drink in public.	The PSPO does not prohibit drinking in public unless required to do so by an authorised officer. It effectively updates an old Shrewsbury and Atcham Order.
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	Should be a complete alcohol ban on the streets as it is often deterring from tourists returning to our once beautiful town centre and indeed us salopains	Where consumption is undertaken responsibly and not to excess then ASB will not result where excess occurs then the PSPO can be used.
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	As long as it is only used as needed to prevent antisocial or harrasing behaviour and not to prevent all alcohol from being enjoyed in public spaces such as picnics in the public parks etc.	See above
<b>Alcohol Prohibition</b>	I think only Police Officers and PCSO's should be authorised officers. The phrase "or is likely" is open to abuse and interpretation. This should not be in the Order	The police will be the main enforcement body. There are checks in place including the use of the words 'without reasonable excuse' before an offence is made out and the Councils Better Regulation and Enforcement Policy will need to be considered before any formal proceedings can be undertaken.



APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Alcohol Prohibition</b></p>	<p>Strengthen Prohibition by removing proviso. Failing which change wording in Line 3 to read 'reasonably believes' in place of 'has reason to believe'.</p>	<p>Comment received from Hard copy submission. The proviso is present to remove arbitrary actions and to provide a need to properly justify the action requiring officers to act/think proportionately. The wording 'Reasonably believes' would amount to a slightly lesser test which may lead to a lack of consideration of the actual evidence available. Suggestion not agreed.</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>chuggers should have strict limits as to area of operation, number of workers in the area and their behaviour. Any breaking of the rules should result in a permanent ban from the town centre for the purpose of chugging.</p>	<p>Controls are now in place following implementation of the Site Management Agreement with the Public Fundraising Association which commenced in November 2016.</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>Observed representatives from Guide Dogs for the Blind in Pride Hill only a week ago, at least two and of the loud, matey school of chugging. A total ban would be the ideal!</p>	<p>Controls are now in place following implementation of the Site Management Agreement with the Public Fundraising Association which commenced in November 2016.</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>What is the difference between begging and blowing into a whistle? Why doesn't the Council insist on individuals having to pay for a street performers licence before they are allowed to ply their trade in the town centre?</p>	<p>These concerns are understood however begging is a matter which is already addressed by legislation and is a matter for the police. There is no requirement for a street performance licence but the Council may consider this in the future.</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>I think the focus should be on ending homelessness, not criminalising homeless behaviour</p>	<p>The proposal is not about criminalising rough sleepers - its intention is to address how all people in the town centre behave or conduct themselves. Rough sleeping would not breach the PSPO.</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>Again, Shrewsbury has the potential to be a liberal place if it wasn't steered to conservatism by an affluent minority</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>More explanation needed on how such an order would be enforced. Particularly for 48 hours.</p>	<p>The police will enforce and investigate whether a person returned to the area.</p>

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>I suppose the same thing applies, if there is just one area then it is moved on etc etc the same as applies to problem families in the housing sector. I know that some do not want to be helped but mainly because of what is on offer does not really help them. many would do well in a community setting were they have space but help is at hand.</p>	<p>The PSPO does not affect housing matters and applies to individuals in public spaces in the town centre. Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping year round</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>No-one should be allowed to behave in such a way in a public space that intimidates others or reduces their confidence in, or enjoyment of going into the town centre. I'm sure that none of the people I'm thinking of as I write this who spend the majority of their day sitting in shop doorway on Pride Hill or walking about the town centre on some unknown business, would ever want to alarm or intimidate a member of the public. However, it seems, for whatever reason, that they are not able to consider others - it's as if, as a group, they are in a parallel universe where they can behave how they want and not have to think about the wider society that uses the town centre for going to work, shopping, eating out, going to meetings, going to see a film or music. I know why that might be the case - if all of the things I've just listed are out of your reach why should be that interested in a society that places a lot of value on them, but it is still selfish. Maybe it's just the way it has to be - society ignores them as individuals (apart from giving them negative attention) and so they ignore everyone who is part of that society. It can lead to some uneasy tensions sometimes.</p>	<p>The PSPO would not apply unless there were behavioural issues by an individual. If an individuals behaviour causes nuisance, alarm, harassment or distress to another then they may be subject to action under the PSPO.</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>I feel things have improved recently..not so much bedding about...</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>As above</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>CCTV Coverage.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>There are rough sleeping buskers who are a complete waste of time and should be banned and moved on. There is a good demand for talented buskers but the town is ill served by beggars and the penny whistle brigade</p>	<p>Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping year round</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>Any items left unattended should be removed immediately by police or council officials. Places that have been deemed unlikely targets for terrorist attack have proved to be nothing of the sort. Shrewsbury is currently an open house given the acceptance by the police and council of unattended baggage being left on Pride Hill.</p>	<p>National security is currently graded as 'Severe' and left belongings could pose a threat. The PSPO is intended to address such matters / concerns. However the PSPO does not itself grant the power to remove personal belongings.</p>

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>Questions 12, 13, and 14 are inappropriate as the behaviour being referred to is the removal of trouble-makers for 48 hours - but I have answered them as the software demands that answers be given.          Yes, police and wardens should have the power to order people to stay away from the city-centre for 48 hours, or even longer - why not a whole month, so that people learn that making trouble in the city-centre on one weekend will deprive them of visiting the city-centre for three further weekends?          However, it would be best to get at the cause of the undesirable behaviour, rather than debating whether to remove people, and for how long. The causes are pubs staying open after 11pm, poor discipline in some pubs that close at 11pm, and late-night clubs being permitted to operate within the city-centre.</p>	<p>48 hours is considered a reasonable and appropriate time to require a person to not return to an area and is consistent with other legislative prohibitions.          Licensed premises are required to operate in accordance with the licensing conditions imposed which specify closure times (can be later than 11pm). Failure to do so will be addressed by the Council's Licensing Team. Where poor discipline at a premises can be proved then a review of a premises licence by a responsible authority (which includes the police) can be requested. Current licensing policy permits clubs operating in town centres although closure times will be assessed on a case by case basis. Licensing and planning policy will need to be considered to address such concerns as opposed to the PSPO. The Council's Licensing Act 2003 policy is due for review and such matters will form part of the considerations.</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>I have tried to be honest in section 14, in that it is better, but only because of the colder weather, when the same miscreants would rather cause trouble in shelter</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>Don't know how this is managed. How do you prevent someone returning within 48 hours and if they do what happens to them?</p>	<p>If they return then they will commit a criminal offence and face a FPN or potential prosecution</p>
<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>I believe that this is targeted at young people.          If young people have to leave the area it might have Safeguarding issues, as you will be moving them away from the well lit, patrolled and populated areas that offer them protection.</p>	<p>The PSPO does not target anyone who is acting appropriately. In being asked to leave the area the police (other authorised officer) will consider the welfare of minors and take the appropriate action commensurate with any identified risks and safeguarding considerations.</p>

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Dispersal Prohibition</b></p>	<p>change wording in Line 3 to read 'reasonably believes' in place of 'has reason to believe'.</p>	<p>Comment received from Hard copy submission. The proviso is present to remove arbitrary actions and to provide a need to properly justify the action requiring officers to act/think proportionately. The wording 'Reasonably believes' would amount to a slightly lesser test which may lead to a lack of consideration of the actual evidence available. Suggestion not agreed.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p><b>Are there other prohibitions that need to be considered?</b></p>	
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Yes what is missing is more specific zoning of areas where licences are acceptable for extended hours for venues that are part of the "night-time" economy. In my short time here I have been made aware of numerous applications for bars/ night clubs right next to houses and flats. The Council should establish specific locations away from peoples homes where such premises could operate.</p>	<p>This is a control measure that would not form part of a PSPO and would need to be considered in line with the Councils Licensing and Planning policies.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Most weekends the streets in early morning are littered with food cartons often still containing uneaten food. Cigarette smokers just throw their cigarette ends onto the street and this disgusting habit is particularly bad on the English Bridge steps where until it was recently cleaned there were hundreds of ends. These are deposited there by the workers in the nearby nail bar who stand there smoking in shifts. I have spoken to them about this but it continues.</p>	<p>Littering is already an offence and does not need to be included in this PSPO.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Dog waste has increased recently</p>	<p>Noted - but failing to clean up after your dog is already an offence and does not need to be addressed by this PSPO although Dog control orders will need to be reviewed.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>We would support the use of the orders to control Street Entertainers/Buskers. We observe that some are very loud , relying on large sound systems which drown out conversation and other acoustic performers, who are local, whereas we wonder if some of the groups come from outside our area.</p>	<p>The PSPO can be amended in the future should sufficient evidence come to light to justify this, however the PSPO as currently drafted could be used in certain circumstances where the test of nuisance, alarm, harrasment or distress is met.</p>

APPENDIX I— Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p><b>BUSKERS!</b> buskers can be great and enliven the street but we note the difference between local, acoustic performers and those who may travel in and use a loud , amplified backing track! At times this can be heard inside stores even with doors shut! Some places have a licence system for buskers but this would create extra administration!</p>	<p>There is no licensing system in place in Shropshire. The PSPO can be amended in the future should sufficient evidence comes to light to justify this, however the PSPO as currently drafted could be used in certain circumstances where the test of nuisance, alarm, harrasment or distress is met.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>We observe a range of buskers, many add to the street life a few can be very loud indeed , with loud , thumping backing tracks! We would discriminate between those playing unamplified and those (probably not local) who switch up the volume so it intrudes inside shops.</p>	<p>The PSPO can be amended in the future should sufficient evidence come to light to justify this, however the PSPO as currently drafted could be used in certain circumstances where the test of nuisance, alarm, harrasment or distress is met.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>The beggars and drinkers must be moved on from the town centre. The are detrimental to the quality of life of residents and tourist I have spoken to think they let he town down.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Cycling on footpaths, against the flow of traffic on one way streets, and in pedestrianised zones, continues to constitute a hazard. The police have proved to be ineffectual in dealing with this problem and Council officials have been similarly ineffective. Including a prohibition on cycling on footpaths and in pedestrianised zones would clarify any confusion about the legality of such behaviour and might encourage officials to intervene.</p>	<p>The PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify this. The police is able to take action under existing legislation.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Shrewsbury Town Council would like the area expanding to cover the grassed area adjacent to the Frankwell Footbridge near to the carpark including. This would include the picnic benches in that area which in the past have been areas for inappropriate behaviour</p>	<p>This is to be amended and was intended to be covered in the draft proposal.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>The town is already quite orderly, not sure why this is needed in general</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Just clear the area of the offenders and their rubbish and give the area a deep clean and let SHREWSBURY become a nice place to visit again</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Parking on Yellow Lines! This also affects the entrance to "the Old Meadow" where the lines are unclear and parents park on the lines &amp; footpath while waiting for their children to come out blocking access to the estate.</p>	<p>Parking enforcement is already undertaken by Shropshire Councils Civil Enforcement Officers under the Traffic Management Act.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Drug use. Police should there powers to search people who they suspect to have drugs about them</p>	<p>Primary legislation is already in force to control these activities.</p>

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>I would like to see begging or people sitting outside on the floor who look like they could be beggars moved on. At times I have seen them on Pride Hill &amp; people give them food or money. I think the town council or police should try to discourage this behaviour as it would make our town a cleaner nicer environment for people working &amp; visiting our town.</p>	<p>Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping. Begging is a matter which is already addressed by legislation and is a matter for the police. There is in place the Alternative Giving Scheme and everyone should be encouraged to put any donations in these boxes as opposed to the individuals</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Fag butts - needs to be stricter - lots of smokers just drop them wherever Chewing gum a pain too - on the under side of your shoe Spitting is also gross</p>	<p>Littering is already an offence and does not need to be included in this PSPO. If spitting is an issue then the PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify this</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>More litter bins in strategic places may help. Greater all round policing however would have a notable impact.</p>	<p>Enforcement of littering is undertaken by Council officers in line with available resources. There is a balance between providing bins and the resources needed to keep emptying them with the desire to encourage people to take their rubbish home.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Although not exclusively rough sleepers, they have been responsible for numerous incidents where uncontrolled dogs have attacked other dogs, and are at best intimidating at worst dangerous. As someone who works on princess St, the PSPO needs to be backed up with cameras on one of the few areas without them, to gain evidence for the proper authorities to use, for the benefit of workers, residents and tourists who all use the street.</p>	<p>Various Dog Control Orders are in place although these will need to be reviewed in the very near future. Dangerous dogs are a matter for the police using existing legislation. The implementation of additional street cameras would need to be considered by the CCTV centre in line with practices set out by the Council.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>your surveys are not good. as there should be a box for comments on each place. it is not a black and white thing with people involved. lots of good things are happening but not enough and if the reason for doing anything is for the visitors then that is a poor show, it should be done for humanitarian reasons (cannot spell!)</p>	<p>The implementation of a PSPO is for the benefit of everyone living , working or visiting the public spaces. This consultation has provided opportunity for comments to be included for each of the provisions and a catch all for any other suggestions.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>I find the presence of people begging along the Pride Hill is detrimental to the quality of life in Shrewsbury Town Centre. It is my opinion that there are agencies which provide food and shelter.</p>	<p>Noted</p>

APPENDIX I- Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Yes, you are imposing rules/regulations that are not required. Get the police to do the job they are over paid for. Finally question 23 is not required and clearly shows that you think a councillor is superior to the rest of the local town residents.</p>	<p>The PSPO will be an additional tool to help the police with their role who will be the main enforcers of the PSPO. The question is purely aimed at understanding the make up of respondents and in no way confers any superiority.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>The only other thing I would mention is sometimes, there are about 10 to 15 people selling football scarves or other tat in the town centre. Whether they actually have a licence for this I don't know, but a lot of people just suddenly appear all selling the same thing! There's too many and I doubt they are regulated.</p>	<p>Such individuals are normally pedlars who are permitted to sell their goods provided they are registered/licensed by the police. Pedlars must be mobile although they may stop to undertake transactions. Static stalls are not permitted in Shrewsbury Town Centre.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Noisy speeding traffic up castle street...often the motorcyles with no silencers ? Also the amount of drunken youths at 4 or 5am....caused by the "open all might" availability of alcohol. Not only is it a timebomb for their future - we have to endure the disturbance that comes with it.We are becoming a "benidorm" of nightlife....I feel the council should take some responsibility by curbing the amount of all night alcohol available. The cinema,theatre,and restuarants provide a varied and vibrant night-life I dont feel that turning out a large volume of stoned youngsters at 5am is good for anyone. Particularly those residents living in the newly converted town centre apartments.</p>	<p>Motoring offences are the remit of the police for which legislation is already in place. Licensed premises are required to operate in accordance with the licensing conditions imposed which specify closure times (but can be later than 11pm). Failure to do so will be addressed by the Council's licensing Team. Where poor discipline at a premises can be proved then a review of a premises licence by a responsible authority (which includes the police) can be requested. Current licensing policy permits clubs operating in town centres although closure times will be assessed on a case by case basis. Licensing and planning policy will need to be considered to address such concerns as opposed to the PSPO. The Council's Licensing Act 2003 policy is due to be reviewed and such matters will form part of the considerations.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>I would like to see at least two policeman, with excellent communication skills, in proper uniform every day walking around and through the town talking to people, getting to know young people, instilling confidence in the elderly and putting forward a town philosophy of friendliness and understanding to all people not just to the well off retailers, bankers, solicitors etc.</p>	<p>A matter for the Police to consider - comments passed to West Mercia Police.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Litter!! More Police or similar around the town centre as a friendly presence.</p>	<p>Littering is already an offence and does not need to be included in this PSPO. Police resources is a matter for the Police to consider - comments passed to West Mercia Police.</p>

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Yes, traffic going too fast. Put in more speed cameras or road humps. By the shops in Coleham is dangerous crossing the road due to speeding motorists and crossing over in Wyle Cop and by the Market Hall.</p>	<p>Speeding is an issue that is already regulated by the police and does not need to be included in the PSPO</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>The biggest concern for me as a business owner is the proliferation of rough sleeping in the town. This has got significantly worse over the last 12 months and creates problems for businesses and tourism. They can be quite intimidating when gathered in numbers and whilst they obviously require compassion and help there needs to be action.</p>	<p>Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping. Behavioural issues can be addressed by the proposed PSPO</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Too many "Charity/Sales people standing in Pride Hill/The Square. They are always trying to stop you and in some cases are quite rude or even follow you if you don't or can't stop. We know they want money but I think having to give out personal details in a public space is VERY dangerous, but they are very edgy if you don't.</p>	<p>Controls are now in place following implementation of the Site Management Agreement with the Public Fundraising Association which commenced in November 2016.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>I agree that PSPO are necessary, but I think targeting the homeless people constantly is not the answer and alternatives could be put in place to help them and prevent the situation by giving them the facilities for storage, toilets etc etc.</p>	<p>Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping. The proposal is not about criminalising rough sleepers - its intention is to address how all people in the town centre behave or conduct themselves. Rough sleeping would not breach the PSPO. Facilities are available for rough sleepers at the Ark. Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Drunken behaviour post/prior to night club/ bar visits. Door staff will prevent entry by people behaving badly. This protects the establishments property but this rejection exposes neighbours to risk. As happened in our broken windows episode (3 by one boy). There should be some arrangement where people not allowed into public premises should be further prevented from roaming the streets.</p>	<p>This would be a matter for the police who can use existing legislation to deal with drunk individuals and vandalism.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>I can remember when Shrewsbury was a nice clean town to visit, the retailers are trying their best to improve the look of the town but the public spaces are a disgrace! The cleanliness is non-existent, it will not matter how lovely the flowers look in summer if the rest of the town stays as it is. I lived in the town centre for a few years but moved to the country because of the disgusting situation the town found itself in. This cannot solely be the responsibility of the Police, the local authority has a duty of care to all that live, work and visit and it is failing in that duty.</p>	<p>Shropshire Council undertake significant cleaning in Shrewsbury and is not a matter for consideration by a PSPO.</p>



**APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation**

<b>Other</b>	I have no particular problem with buskers, but do feel that they should be made to perform either standing up, or sitting on a chair at worst. I can't see why genuine buskers need to perform whilst sitting down...they are straying into the realms of begging.	Such a measure would not prevent the 'non buskers' from performing and would ultimately be an ineffective measure.
<b>Other</b>	Dropping litter in The Quarry.	Littering is already an offence and does not need to be included in this PSPO.
<b>Other</b>	I think that anyone supposedly busking with a penny whistle i.e. actually begging should be asked to move on from the main thoroughfares of town. If people wish to help the homeless they should make donations to The Ark.	Noted
<b>Other</b>	<p>Quicker clearing away of the usual weekend vomit and detritus.</p> <p>Cycling on footpaths, against the flow of traffic in one way streets, and in pedestrian areas, is increasingly hazardous and appears to be treated as acceptable by the local police and council officials in spite of frequent public complaints. The laws and local byelaws should be reinforced and applied as part of the PSPO.</p> <p>Using pedestrian areas as a vehicular shortcut is commonplace, hazardous, and anti social; the council and police should accept their responsibilities to pedestrians by incorporating the strictures against such conduct in the PSPO.</p>	<p>Street cleaning is a service already provided by the Council and is not a matter for the PSPO. Re: cycling the PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify this.</p> <p>Vehicles using pedestrian areas as a shortcut is a police matter and the 'addition of structures' is not a course of action open under the PSPO. The implementation of TROs and inclusion of street furniture is the remit of the Highways Team under primary legislation already available.</p>
<b>Other</b>	Remove the chugged they are becoming an harassment!	Controls are now in place following implementation of the Site Management Agreement with the Public Fundraising Association which commenced in November 2016.
<b>Other</b>	<p>Many dog-walkers allow their dogs to defecate in public and fail to scoop the faeces up.</p> <p>Many dog-walkers allow their dogs to bark as the pass through and around the town. This barking causes disturbance. At any time of the day or night there may be people sleeping, or ill, or simply needing to concentrate.</p> <p>Many adults do not control their children so that they do not scream and squeal as they pass through and around the city-centre. This occurs particularly along all the riverside walks, where the adults and children feel that by virtue of the presence of the river they are in a space that is akin to open countryside. However, there is dense housing along much of the riverbanks that encircle Shrewsbury, and such screaming and squealing causes disturbance to the occupants of all such housing. If this matter is not dealt with, then law-abiding citizens will abandon central Shrewsbury and its housing will be occupied by occupants who will not assist Shrewsbury's reputation as a pleasant country town.</p>	Failing to clean up after your dog is already an offence and does not need to be addressed by this PSPO although Dog control orders will need to be reviewed in the very near future. Barking dogs may be considered a nuisance if they are in the same location all the time but it would not be appropriate to ban barking per se. Controlling noise from children is also an unreasonable and inappropriate consideration.

**APPENDIX 1– Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation**

<b>Other</b>	I would say no begging and no public alcohol consumption at all within the area.	Begging is a matter which is already addressed by legislation and is a matter for the police. Where consumption of alcohol is undertaken responsibly and not to excess then ASB is very unlikely to occur however where excess occurs then the PSPO can be used.
<b>Other</b>	I think there is merit in an additional point that anyone clearly with an intent to sleep in the streets of the area, by virtue of carrying sleeping equipment or taking up a possible sleeping position as the night draws in, should be dispersed under the PSPO. Whilst this may seem harsh on the towns rough sleepers, it would send a strong signal to incoming rough sleepers that Shrewsbury is not a soft touch, which is the reason we have endured an influx in recent years.	The proposal is not about criminalising rough sleepers - its intention is to address how all people in the town centre behave or conduct themselves. Rough sleeping itself would not breach the PSP but like everyone they will still be subject to the PSPO whilst in the town centre.
<b>Other</b>	No, but to prevent a lot of the behaviours mentioned, perhaps some more youth facilities available to discourage loitering!	Noted
<b>Other</b>	I would like to see the drug problem addressed. There are people dealing drugs in town and surrounding area. I accidentally stumbled upon a drug deal whilst out walking my dog on the Kingsland side of the river. I had my young granddaughter with me and I quickly left the area. I never see this area patrolled by police. So a beautiful area is tainted by their behaviours and renders this place a no go area.	This is a matter for the police given the legislation already available to them
<b>Other</b>	Spitting drinking foul abusive language and no sitting waiting outside shop doorways for more than 15 mins	Whilst there are no specific prohibitions if an individuals behaviour is such to cause nuisance, alarm, harassment or distress to another then they may be subject to action under the PSPO and required to leave the area.
<b>Other</b>	the main problem is the down and outs also the night time student night [weds] should be looked at as they cause damage at night /in the early hours	Work is on going by a number of agencies including Shropshire Council to help those rough sleeping. The Councils Out of hours officers work in conjunction with the police and do provide a regular presence in the Town centre during the evenings including student nights. However vandalism is already an offence and does not need to be included in this PSPO.

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>You may wish to consider unauthorised charity collections (chuggers), begging, unauthorised music performances, unauthorised street performances, animal welfare issues (dogs on strings!) etc</p>	<p>Controls are now in place following implementation of the Site Management Agreement with the Public Fundraising Association which commenced in November 2016. Begging is a matter which is already addressed by legislation and is a matter for the police. The PSPO can be amended in the future should evidence come to light to justify these other concerns, however the PSPO as currently drafted could be used in certain circumstances where the test of nuisance, alarm, harassment or distress is met.</p>
<p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>Shrewsbury town is a great place to visit, my whole family including my teenage daughter feel safe at all times. I think this PSPO is unnecessary and the issues listed could be dealt with by existing legislation.</p> <p>The PSPO could end up criminalising innocent people. For example a group of 15 year olds meet in the square at 3.00pm on a Saturday afternoon, they play some music and have bikes and skate boards. The "Authorised Person" decides they MIGHT cause nuisance and moves them on. Because one of the group has arranged for a parent to pick them up from town, they return an hour later to be picked up by their parents. They are now in Breach of the PSPO and consequently get a criminal record, please don't make criminals out of innocent people.</p> <p>"Every law creates an outlaw" there are existing laws to deal with indecent acts (which would include defecation and urination in public), drunk &amp; disorderly to cover street drinking and unacceptable behaviour and I think anti-terrorism laws to deal with suspicious things left in public places.</p> <p>All this PSPO is really doing is giving power to council employees rather than the police and using "ilkey to" to target people that shouldn't be targeted or criminalised.</p>	<p>The issues included in the PSPO are not adequately covered by existing legislation to enable an effective solution to problems identified and only those individuals exhibiting the behaviours identified will be criminalised. Whilst the example quoted is somewhat unlikely the return of the minor would be subject to the reasonable excuse test before an offence would be made out; although its doubtful that such a circumstance would result in their removal from the area in the first place.</p> <p>The Police has advised that current legislation (including that suggested) does not enable them to deal effectively with the issues identified. The police are fully supporting the need for the PSPO who will be in the main be the main enforcement body. The inclusion of 'likely to' mirrors that of the legislation but in any case enforcement of the PSPO will be subject to the councils Better Regulation and Enforcement policy and so any such actions will be scrutinised prior to any commencement of proceedings.</p>

APPENDIX I – Additional comments received from all survey respondents including officer response and observation

Other	<p>Licensed parts of the public footpath should require outdoor customers to be seated within the licensed area - congregations of standing customers outside licensed premises is intimidating to passers by at night and often noisy. Seated customers are likely to avoid this by virtue of small groupings.</p>	<p>Comment from Hard copy. Such behaviour could be controlled by the licensing Act itself by way of a licence review (and noise related legislation) and as such this is not a matter for the PSPO. This would be difficult to enforce and as a result become ineffective.</p>
Other	<p>We would however ask that consideration be given to extending the boundary for the PSPO to include the seated area adjacent to the Frankwell carpark upstream of the Frankwell Footbridge. From our experiences of being residents of the Guildhall staff saw first-hand how this area has been used inappropriately as it is out of sightlines of CCTV and footfall traffic across the bridge.</p>	<p>Email correspondence Agreed. This was intended to be covered originally but an error when drawing up the map occurred</p>